TT FILE COPY



AD-A205 348

SP 004:352:89

November 1988

045

7

2

Coastal Hydrographics Techniques: Data Report for Support of the Airborne Bathymetry System at CERC FRF, Duck, N. C.

L. Estep, L. Hsu, and R. Arnone Mapping, Charting, and Geodesy Division Ocean Science Directorate

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity Stennis Space Center, Mississippi 39529-5004

ABSTRACT

Coastal Hydrographics Techniques (CHT) personnel in support of the Airborne Bathymetry System (ABS) traveled on two separate occasions to the CERC FRF at Duck, N. C., to provide ground truth data for the overflights of the system.

The following data report gives a preliminary look at the data and provides some preliminary analysis and simple calculations using the collected data for both CHT and ABS applications.

and provide the state

. . .

NTIS GRA%I DTIC TAB Unannounced. [] Justification_ -----By_____ Dickerstown / Ave. 1991 1 1 1 1 • . <u></u> Díst •

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Great appreciation is expressed for the help and assistance offerred by the CERC FRF personnel at Duck, N. C.. Coastal Hydrographics Techniques is funded by OP 096, Program Element 63704N.

DATA REPORT FOR THE COASTAL HYDROGRAPHICS TECHNIQUES SUPPORT OF THE AIRBORNE BATHYMETRY SYSTEM AT CERC FRE

PIER AT DUCK, N.C.

L. Estep, L. Hsu, and R. Arnone

INTRODUCTION

The Defense Mapping Agency (DMA) has provided support for the developement of the Airborne Bathymetry System (ABS). Five flights for fiscal year 1988 were planned by NORDA in conjunction with DMA and the Naval Oceanographic Office (NAVOCEANO). These test flights of the system were to take place at selected areas chosen for their water optical quality, bottom type and reflectivity, and bathymetry database availability.

A site selected for the ABS overflights was the Army Corps of Engineers Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) Field Research Facility (FRF) at Duck, North Carolina. This ocean engineering research center provides an ideal area for test overflights due not only to the water optical quality variability but, also, because the facility research mandate provides valuable supporting data for understanding the impact that

environmental factors have as noise sources in the return signals of the HALS laser sounder. The CERC facility routinely measures, collects, and archives wave spectra and direction, prevailing currents, weather conditions, bottom sediment types, high accuracy bathymetry, tidal information, and sediment transport Appendix A provides ancillary information about CERC FRF and the archival database present.

To support its investigations, the FRF utilizes a 6.1 by 561 meter long pier that extends from behind the dune line seaward to about the 7 meter depth contour. At the terminus of the pier is stationed an air-conditioned van which can be used to house instruments for experiments conducted near the end of the pier. Locations on the pier are enumerated in feet from a baseline located landward of the laboratory building and normal to the pier centerline. The laboratory building includes offices, a kitchen, library, computer center, a multipurpose area, and a diving locker [1].

In two separate ABS field support excursions to the CERC FRF at Duck, data was collected for the purpose of providing ground truth for the P-3 overflights. The first field study ran from 14 June 1988 to 19 June 1988 inclusive with the overflight occurring on 18 June 1988. The second field study ran from 14 August 1988 to 18 August 1988 inclusive with the overflight occurring on 17 August 1988.

FIELD INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA COLLECTED

The instrumentation used for determining the ocean optical properties was a spectroradiometer that was designed and built by Research Support Instruments (RSI). The instrument measures irradiance using a cosine collector at five channels of radiant energy input. Four of the five channels are equivalent to the channels of the Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS) One channel is at a spectral benchmark that allows interpolation of the measurements made at this wavelength to other wavelengths (see Appendix B for wavelength values and comparison to the CZCS wavelengths). The spectroradiometer data is read from the panel meters in the control box. Upwelling and downwelling irradiance, pitch, roll, and water temperature are recorded at distinct depths increments.

Optical measurements were collected at three separate stations on the first trip and two stations on the second trip (with readings taken twice at one station). These data were taken along the pier, seaward, with the RSI instrument. Table 1 provides relevant station information. The data collection procedure involved utilizing a rigid support frame that allowed a block to be hung over the handrail of the pier. Through the block ran the support line and electrical cable for the

instrument. The spectroradiometer was lowered to a position just above the water surface and initial readings collected These readings consisted of the upwelling irradiance and the downwelling irradiance. Once completed, the instrument would be placed below the surface of the water and a second set of readings would be recorded. From these data, the spectral transmission of the interface could be estimated. Moreover, spectral estimations of the surface reflectance, water leaving irradiance, and absorption of the surface water layer could be made. Once the second set of readings was completed, the instrument was lowered incrementally until near the bottom. From these data, the diffuse attenuation coefficient can be reckoned and the spectral bottom reflectivity estimated. Bathymetric information on the station was recorded. The three stations taken were at 660, 1220, and 1900 feet respectively for the first data set. The second data set comprises data taken at 1220 (two datasets on the same day at different times) and 1900 feet on the pier.

The primary interest the water optical data has is the impact the multifarious environmental factors might have on the active portion of the ABS, the HALS laser sounder, return signals. Additionally, these optical measurements could be used to improve the bathymetry algoritms used in the passive subsystem of the ABS, the NORDA scanner.

DATA REDUCTION AND DISPLAY

The data reduction procedure for each plot in the following set of graphs will be briefly discussed.

The spectral transmission of the interface was estimated by looking at the downwelling above the surface versus the downwelling below the surface. Because wave action alternately increases and decreases the overlying water layer thickness, the measurement is somewhat difficult to make due to the rapidly varying readings obtained. Nonetheless, by looking at the maxima and minima readings obtained and taking an intervening reading, some reasonable approximation can be obtained. It is to be noted that the layer of intervening water between these measurements is not infinitesimally thin. Thus, some absorption invariably occurs. No provision for correcting the transmission for this absorption is made in the relevant plots. Figure 1 exhibits the spectral transmission of the interface for the three pier stations for the first Duck field excursion. Relevant data for second Duck field study was not recorded.

The reflectivity of the naviface could be estimated by comparing the downwelling and the upwelling irradiance while the instrument is above the surface. Care must be taken here since

the instrument ought not shadow itself while in the process of extracting a reading Moreover, as with the transmission measurement aforementioned, the collimated component represented by the direct sun will affect the measured values. That is, the transmissivity and reflectivity of the naviface is dependent on the solar angle. Theoretically, one could subtract the transmissivity from unity and obtain a value for reflectivity simply through conservation of energy considerations (provided no absorption occurs). However, emanating from below the water surface through the air-water interface, is light due to the water column. This water leaving irradiance is embedded in the surface reflectance flux and, thereby, is part of that which is measured as reflectivity. Figure 2 shows the reflectivity of the interface for the first field data set. Figures 3 and 4 show the percentage of water leaving irradiance for the first data set and for the third station of the second data set when compared to the overall light leaving the air-water interface.

If it is certain that some absorption took place in the interface region, then since the sum of reflectivity, transmissivity, and absorption ought to equal unity, one is able to estimate the amount of absorption in the surface layer of the interface. Figure 5 shows this kind of calculation for the first Duck data set.

The irradiance reflectances were measured for each level taken at each station. Notice at the top station, above the interface, this amounts to measuring the reflectivity of the

interface. At the bottom, if such a measurement could reasonably be made, this data would allow the reckoning of the bottom reflectivity. The air-water interface and the benthic interface are actual (real) interfaces. The intervening ratios of the upwelling to downwelling are not of real interfaces, therefore, they will be referred to hereafter as irradiance ratios. The irradiance ratios, treating channel and depth as the independent variable by turns, are shown for each station in Figs 6-20 The upwelling and downwelling diffuse attenuation coefficient (or 'k') was computed as a function of depth and channel. Figures 21 through 44 show these results.

The bottom reflectivity measured by the laboratory reflectometer on the bottom samples taken at Duck for the different stations is shown in Tables 2 and 3. The bottom reflectivity as calculated by a simple bulk properties model and by linear regression of the irradiance ratios as a function of depth for a given wavelength are compared in Figs. 45 through 50 for the Duck study. The bulk properties model is provided as Appendix C. The weakness of the model is in assuming the diffuse attenuation coefficients, or k values, for both the upwelling and the downwelling light streams for the last level above the bottom, to be adequate for the calculation.

DISCUSSION OF DATA

The discussion that follows is abbreviated and will be expatiated in a follow up report. In the following discussion, the term 'channel' refers to the specific wavelengths of the sensor filters possessed by the spectroradiometer. See Appendix B for details

In the discussion above, Fig. 1 was shown to exhibit the transmission of the interface. For a calm interface, а calculation may be made using the well known Fresnel formulae. If we assume a refractive index of 1.34 for sea water, Figs. 51 and 52 give the results for various angles of incidence in air and water respectively. Notwithstanding, a calm interface is hardly realistic. Cordon (2) has given the time averaged reflectances for various windspeeds from 0 to 19 m/sec. Fig. 53 gives the transmittance of the air-water interface as a function of windspeed. Gordon (2) assumes that the crosswind and upwind parameters may be represented by a single Caussian slope distribution of slopes. This is a simplifying assumption. Comparing Fig 1 results to Fig. 51 shows obvious discrepancies For an observation angle of zero degrees, the transmittance ought to be a little less than 98 % However, Fig 1 provides smaller

values Furthermore, since there is some reflection from the naviface for light coming up from below, this gets summed with the light the downwelling sensor on the RSI instrument sees transmitted through the interface. This adds a small positive bias to the measurement of the transmission through the air-water boundary. The plots in the graph shown in Fig. 1 have not been corrected for the bias mentioned.

Fig 2 exhibits the reflectivity for the first Duck data set. Again, the 'values expected from Gordon (2) do not compare well with the measured values. For a nadir observation angle, the reflectance of the naviface ought to be a little over 2 %, even for windspeeds up to 16 m/sec.

Figs 3 and 4 show the water leaving irradiance (water column light) for the three stations associated with the first Duck data set and for the third station of the second Duck data set. An interesting result here is the apparently high percentage of 'embedded' flux or water leaving irradiance in the total light that leaves the interface upward. Station two exhibits an improbable excess of water leaving irradiance. Three factors may enter here and in other data results to cause these kind of erroneous readings. First, there can be simple reading errors in recording the measurement from the panel meters, or simply errors involved in choosing a nonrepresentative reading when the readings on the panel meter fluctuate rapidly. Secondly, there was no deck cell coupled with the instrument to

allow a way to normalize the changing lighting conditions extant while the measurements were being made. Thirdly, the instrument is lowered into the water below the surface far enough to have the instrument covered completely even with the presence of waves. The thickness of the water layer is therefore a function of the wave action present. Since there is a water layer to propagate across, the readings found for the upwelling below the interface may not include the attenuation near the surface due to suspensoids, and transmission through the interface. However, the total light off the water surface will include such effects. The plots of the reflectivity seen in Fig. 2 are not corrected for the water leaving irradiance fraction.

Fig. 5 provides an estimation of the absorption of the water layer when combined with the transmission and reflectivity of the interface. If we know the transmission and reflectivity, then the absorption can be found. An interesting result here is that station one in the first Duck data set exhibits negative absorption. Or, in other terms, light seems to be created in the water layer referred to. Beyond simply an error being made in taking the reading, this possibly may be due to the presence of suspended particulates in the water layer bracketed by the instrument in its first two measurement positions at the station. Station one was quite close to the beach area. This allowed the water to have a quantity of resuspended sediments near its surface layers. These suspensoids allowed for a good deal of

backscattered light to be seen by the downlooking sensor above the interface and, yet, not picked up by the uplooking sensor below the water surface layer. This tended to inflate the reflectivity measured and reduce the apparent transmission. Fig 54 displays the excess percentage of irradiance at station one over 100 %. Figs. 6 through 20 provide the irradiance ratios for both Duck data sets as a function of both channel and depth There were some apparently aberrent measurements made in these data. For example, Fig. 18 shows the irradiance ratios for station three of the second Duck data set. Here, the irradiance ratios exceed unity. Ostensibly, the recorded values were in error.

Figs 21 through 44 provide the upwelling and downwelling diffuse attenuation coefficients (k's) as a function of channel and depth. By and large, the upwelling k's exceed the downwelling k's in value. Presumably, if the water mass were uniform, the upwelling and downwelling k's would be identical. Thus, the nonequivalence of these provides a rough gauge of the nonuniformity (stratification) of the water column.

Figs. 45 through 50 provide estimations of the bottom reflectance in two ways. First, the irradiance ratios as a function of depth are plotted and fit by a curve which allows a prediction of the bottom reflectance, for a particular channel, for the given depth. Secondly, the water mass is modelled and using as input the measured upwelling and downwelling k's as well as the depth of the station, the bottom reflectance is calculated

for the channel in question. Again, some of the values obtained by these methods are simply too large. For instance, Fig. 49 exhibits the bottom reflectivity estimation for station two of the second Duck data set. Obviously, the values are too high. Lyzenga (3) provides some bottom reflectivity values as a function of wavelength for sand, silt, shoal grass, and turtle grass from the Panama City, Florida area. Fig. 55 shows Lyzenga's results. For a relatively light colored sand shown, the percentage of reflectance never exceeds 30 %.

Tables 2 and 3 provides the data sheets for the measured values of the reflectivity of bottom samples obtained at Duck for different distances along the pier. Certain distances match closely the three distances at which stations acquired data and the other distances provide some insight into the nature of the change of bottom reflectivity as one moves seaward from the laboratory building. The measurements were made in the laboratory using a Hunter Reflectometer Model 40D that uses either (or both) a green and blue filter to make 'whiteness' and/or reflectance measurements. Some agreement in the calculated and measured values can be seen by comparing the results for station 1. The filters used by the Hunter Reflectometer are wide-Thus, channels 1 and 2 will be covered by the 'blue' band. filter and channel 3 covered by the 'green' filter. Fig. 45 shows the bottom reflectivity for station 1. Comparing channels 1 and 2 to the laboratory measurements in Fig. 56 for the 700

foot mark, the agreement is evident for the extrapolation of the irradiance ratios to the bottom. However, the respective values of channe! 3 not only show different trends but have ""omewhat different values. The top-most plot in Fig. 56 shows the result of the Hunter-Judd whiteness equation. This gives a direct proportion scale from 0 to 100 for 'whiteness'. Interestingly enough, the darker sediments at the end of CERC pier show a higher value of 'whiteness' than those closer to the shore. It appears something is awry here.

The disagreement between the measured and calculated values either by extrapolation or modelling possess can be due to the implicit assumptions that could be easily violated by a complex water mass. For example, in the extrapolation process, it is expected that the irradiance ratios vary smoothly with depth. This is obviously not the case. Hence, any extrapolation taken is done so at some risk. In the bulk properties model alluded to earlier on, there is the clear indication that the k's, both upwelling and downwelling, do not represent well enough the actual attenuation which holds in the vicinity of the bottom.

In order to carry the analysis a somewhat further and provide connection to the ABS HALS laser/receiver subsystem, Appendix D gives some relevant laser power and signal-to-noise calculations using the data found at station 3 for the Duck data set 1. Appendix E uses an algorithm due to Austin and Petzold (4) to calculate the k value for the upper surface of a water mass using a ratio of the water leaving irradiance at two CZCS wavelengths. This k value is then compared to the measured k

value (see Figure 58). Appendix F exhibits the field data sheets themselves

FUTURE ANALYSIS EFFORTS

The data collected by CHT personnel at Duck CERC FRF, when used in conjunction with the results from the overflights of the ABC will allow analysis which will address the effects of the environment on the ABS signals. Beyond the ABS requirements analysis, further investigations into the applicability of the CZCS algorithm developed by Austin and Petzold for coastal waters would be very useful.

An aspect touched on in the above has been the reflectivity of the bottom sediments collected at Duck. Both laboratory measurements and some theoretical computations were utilized to obtain values for the reflectivity. It appears that very little actual work has been done on bottom sediment reflectivity. Yet, it is a very important parameter in the prediction and actual operation of many ocean optical systems in coastal waters. Further analysis in this direction is very much needed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

•

Great appreciation is expressed to the CERD FRF personnel at Duck, N.D., who made our field work pleasant and worthwhile.

REFERENCES

 W. A. Birkemeier, et al., A Users Guice To The Doastal Engineering Research Center's (DERC'B) Field Research Facility, Instruction Report CERC-85-1, Dept. of the Army, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, May 1985.

2. Gordon, J. I., SIG Ref. 69-20, Univ. of Calif., San Diego, - Scripps Inst. of Ocean. , 1969.

3. D. R. Lyzenga, 13th Int. Symp. on Rem. Sens. Env., ERIM Conference, July 1978.

4. Austin, R. W. and T. J. Petrold, "The Determination of the Diffuse Attenuation Coefficient of Sea Water Using the Coastal Zone Color Scanner", in <u>Oceanography from Space</u>, J.F.R. Gower, Ed. (Plenum Press, N.Y., 1981), pp. 237-255.

5. South African Ocean Colour and Wowelling Experiment, 1. V. Shannon, Ed., Sea Fisheries Research Inst., Cape Town, 1985.

6. Buntley, S. Q., "Underwater Lighting by Submarged Lasers and Incadescent Sources", Scripps Inst. of Ocean. Final Report SIC Ref. 71-1, June 1971.

 $\overline{}$

FIGURES



(%)YTIVISSIMZNAAT



REFLECTIVITY







VTIVITE SOSEA



25 EIG

FIG.6.





İ

Į

T

Ĩ















FIG. 12.



IRRADIANCE RATIO




34 BADIANGE RATIO











39 FIG. 21.





41 FIG. 23.



FIG. 24.









44 FIG. 26.



ľ











DOMNMETRING K 49





N







DOMNMEFTING K



Ì

F16.37





UPWELLING K

Í





F16.41











FIG. 46.









67 FIG. 49



REFLECTIVITY

68 FIG. 5φ.










-

12 TRANSMITTANCE



EXCESS IRRADIANCE IPERCENTACE



ø.

BOTTOM REFLECTANCES MEASURED FOR SAND, SHOAL GRASS, AND TURTLE GRASS IN ST. ANDREW BAY, FLORIDA. REFLECTANCE FOR SILT WAS INFERRED FROM SCANNER DATA.

> FIG. 55 73





K AVENE





| | Т | AB | L | Е | 1 |
|--|---|----|---|---|---|
|--|---|----|---|---|---|

| STATION # | STATION DISTANCE (FT) | DATE | TIME | DEPTH (m) |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------|------|--------------|
| 1 | 660 | 6/18/88 | 1235 | 22 |
| 2 | 1220 | 6/18/86 | 1405 | 4 2 |
| Э | 1900 | 6/18/88 | 1540 | 7 5 |
| | | | | |
| 2 | 1220 | 8/17/86 | 1415 | 5 + |
| 2 | 1220 | 8/17/88 | 1807 | 5+ |
| З | 1900 | 8/17/88 | 1123 | <u>G</u> |

TABLE 2.

REFLECTOMETER DATA

LOCATION: DUCK N.C. DATE: 10/3/88 INSTRUMENT: HUNTER MODEL, S/N: 400 SPECTRALON 'BLUE: _ CALIBRATION STANDARDS: GREEN: BLUE: GRÉEN: ACTUAL: SAMPLE STATION REFLECTION % REMARKS SAMPLES 300 N/A 21,3 FINE RED TO TAN SAM 30 8 500' NIF 29.8 20,6 COARSE TAS 700' 18.8 25.7 $\sim /$ 24,7/18.1 Fin Gr 2 1220 1500 25.1 18.4 Fine 11 NA 1900' 225 17,3 VE/SILT DARK GREY SAND 3 79

| 1 | | | TAB | 1LE, J |
|---|--|--------|---------------|----------------------|
| | | | REFLE | CTOMETER DATA |
| l | OCATION: | Dir | K. M. | C, DATE: 12/3/24 |
| : | | HU | NTER | MODEL, S/N: 420 |
| (| ALIBRATION | | PECTR | ALON BLUE |
| | ACTUAL: | GREE | N : | BLUE: |
| STATION | SAMPLE | REFLEC | TION 2 | REMARKS (Det) Sample |
| NA | 307' | B.5 | 7.25 | Se pourour strat |
| NA | 5001 | 12.5 | 6,9 | Adra pample |
| ~ 1 | 7:2' | 12, 2, | 6.7 | for a timent dec- |
| 2 | 1220 | 9.1 | 5,8 | Cristian. |
| MA | 1600 | 9.4 | 6,0 | ¢ |
| 3 | 1400' | 7.0 | 49 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | • | | |
| | • | • | | |
| • | • · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| . | | | - ···- | 80 |

4FFENDIDEE

ļ

8

-

AFFENCIX -

Referancia

The following are extractions from " A DEER'S GLUE TO THE DIASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH DENTER'S (DEFD'S) FIELD REBRARCH FADDLUTY" by W. A. Birkemeier et al. A optishion volume is - ELYMARY DE ARIHIVED ATLANTIC DIABET WAVE INFORMATIC: STLDY PRESSURE, WIND, WAVE, AND WATER LEVEL DATA information presented in this section.

The convertation pages from both reports will be included berein.

| SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) | |
|--|--|
| | |
| REFORT DUCUMENTATION FAGE | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
| 1 REPORT NUMBER 2 GOVT ACCESSION | NO. 3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| Instruction Report CERC-85-1 | |
| 4 TITLE (and Subility) | 5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERICO COVERED |
| A USER'S GUIDE TO THE COASTAL ENGINEERING | Final report |
| RESEARCH CENTER'S (CERC'S) FIELD RESEARCH FACILITY | 6 PERFORMING ORG REPORT NUMBER |
| 7. AUTHOR(a) | B CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER . |
| William A. Birkemeier, H. Carl Miller, Stanton D. Wilhelm, Allen E. DeWall, and Carol S. Gorb | ics |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK |
| US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station Coastal Engineering Research Center PO Boy 631 Vicksburg Mississippi 39180-0631 | |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS | 12 REPORT DATE |
| DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY | May 1985 |
| US Army Corps of Engineers | 13 NUMBER OF PAGES |
| Washington, DC 20314-1000 | LDU (co) 15 SECURITY CLASS (al this report |
| | Unclassified |
| | 150 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE |
| | |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 29, 11 differe | ni trom Report, |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 22, 11 differe 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | ni Irom Report, |
| DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 difference) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Information Springfield, Virginia 22161. | Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, |
| DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 22, 11 differents. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Information Springfield, Virginia 22161. XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and Identify by block not set to be a set of the set of th | n: Hom Report, Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, |
| DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 22, 11 differents SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Information Springfield, Virginia 22161. XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde II necessary and Identify by block number of the search FacilityCERC User's guide | Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, |
| DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 22, 11 differents. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Information Springfield, Virginia 22161. XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide II necessary and Identify by block number of the second se | n: trom Report, Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, mber; |

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Dete Entered









.

Aerial view looking north from Kill Devil Hills, showing three distinct longshore bars



Map of local area $^{\rm k}$

Infied from United States Geological Survey (USGS) maps NJ 18-8, -11;
18-2.



ALC: -----

AND AND A ADDRESS OF A DESCRIPTION OF A













~













į

Disrance, m



Figure 31. FRF bathymetry, 3 November 1981





Distance, m
























US Navy Library NSTL

| Distritution |
|--------------|
| 5-3-85 |
| Ricid |

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dere Entered)

ء ر- ۲۰ ۲<u>۰</u>۰۰ - بوست ۲۰

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE CONDUCTIONS |
|--|--|
| I REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO | 3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| WIS Report 13 | |
| 4. TITLE (mod Subilite) SUMMARY OF ARCHIVED ATLANTIC COAST WAVE | 5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED |
| INFORMATION STUDY: PRESSURE, WIND, WAVE | Final report |
| AND WATER LEVEL DATA | 5 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER |
| 7. AUTHOR(+) | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER |
| R. M. Brooks | |
| W. D. Corson | |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS |
| US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station | |
| PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-0631 | |
| 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS | 12. REPORT DATE |
| DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY | August 1984 |
| US Army Corps of Engineers Washington, DC 20314-1000 | 13 NUMBER OF PAGES 54 |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office) | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of his report. |
| | Unclassified |
| | 150 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) | |
| | |
| | 112 |
| Approved for public release; distribution unlimite | ed. |
| | 207 |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different fr | er Report) |
| | 260 |
| | ūoŭ |
| | 6 |
| | - uglow |
| IS. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | |
| Available from National Technical Information Serv Springfield, Virginia 22161. | vice, 5285 Port Royal Road, |
| A VEV WODE (Caulous as an and it as a set of the set of | |
| Ocean wavesAtlantic coastStatistics (LC) | , |
| Atlantic coast (United States)Statistics (LC) | |
| Pressure (LC) WIS (Wave Information Stu | idy) (WES) |
| Winds (LC) | |
| Water levels (WES) | |
| 0. ABSTRACT (Continue as reverse side if receesary and identify by block number) | |
| This report is a summary of seven data sets p | roduced and archived by the |
| the wave information Study (WIS) for the Atlantic | coast: (1) surface pressure |
| (4) Phase II bindeast wind fields, (3) Phase (4) Phase II bindeast wind fields (5) Phase II bi | e i deepwater wave data, |
| (M) mase if minucast wind fields, (3) mase if ni III nearchore wave data and (7) water level data | The wave parameters from |
| the data sets listed in $2 - 5$ and 6 have been inco | propriated into WIS's computer- |
| based data system, the Sea-State Engineering Analy | sis System (SEAS), which is |
| the set of | (Continued) |
| | (|

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Dete Entered) APPENDIX E

-

.

COASTAL ZONE COLOR SCANNER

The CZCS and seven other discrete sensors were incorporated into the Nimbus-7 satellite launched from Cape Canaveral by a Delta 2910 on 23 October 1978. The CZCS possessed an orbit inclined at about 99 degrees and having a period of 104 minutes. The repeat cycle was around six days The CZCS was a spatially imaging multispectral scanner with IFOV of 865 microrads, which translates into a pixel size of 825 X 825 meters.

The Table below provides the optical characteristics of the CZCS [6].

| BAND | BANDWIDTH | UTILITY |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | (nm) ₂ | |
| 1 | 433-435 | low chlorophyll |
| 2 | 510-530 | high chlorophyll |
| Э | 540-560 | suspended solids |
| 4 | 660-680 | atmospheric correc. |

6

sea-surface temp. 10500-12500

-

The spectroradiometer used in this experiment possesses bands that allow an emulation of the CZCS system. The following table shows the channel wavelengths

| CHANNEL | WAVELENGTH |
|---------|--------------|
| | (nm) |
| 1 | 439 6 |
| 2 | 490.2 |
| 3 | 513.9 |
| 4 | 551.2 |
| 5 | 669 (+/- 10) |



.

. . .

The Nimbus-7 satellite (after NASA 1976)

AFPENDIX D

Į

.

.

APPENDIX C

.

BULK PROPERTIES MODEL TO ALLOW BOTTOM REFLECTIVITY

TO EE FOUND

•

• •



FIG 1.

Assumptions

1. The sea is passive.

2. k is not necessarily constant. It can change at each level.

3. Levels can be of different thicknesses.

Understandings

- 1. E := E :t ; where T-sub s is the transmissivity do input s of the interface.
- 2. E := E t output un s

$$D := \sum_{i} d \text{ and } D := \sum_{i} u_{i}$$

where i=1 ...

- 4. n := |n'| where n' is the number associated with the layers from bottom up.
- 5. E := E [·]R[·] up dn b where r sub-b is the bottom reflectivity.
- 6. N := n + 1 where n is the number of layers, or intervals
- 7. E := E 'R where E sub-do' is the upwelling reflected do' un w from the naviface.
- 6. E := E + E + E + do do do'

9.
$$R := \frac{ul'}{ul'}$$

1 E
dl

Calculations

Í

1.
$$E_{ul'} := E_{uo} \exp \left[- \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} k_{i} \cdot u_{i} \right] \right]$$
 where i=1...1'

2.
$$E := E^{\langle \alpha \rangle}_{d1 \quad d0} e \times p \left[- \left[\sum_{i}^{k} k \cdot d \\ di i \right] \right]$$
 where $i = 1 \dots 1$

3. $R_{i} := \begin{bmatrix} E \\ uo \\ -\langle \alpha \rangle \\ E \\ do \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{\left[-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} k_{i} \cdot u \\ ui & i \right] \right]}{\left[e \times p \left[-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} k_{i} \cdot d \\ di & i \right] \right]} \right]$

where i in the numerator sums from 1 to 1' and in the denominator from 1 to 1.





where i in the first exponential goes from 1 to n, or the total number of layers present. In the numerator, i goes from 1 to 1'; and in the denominator 1 goes from 1 to 1.

R

$$R_{1} := R_{i} \cdot \exp\left[-\left[\sum_{i} k_{i} \cdot d_{i}\right]\right] \cdot \exp\left[-\left[\sum_{i} k_{i} \cdot u_{i}\right]\right]$$

where i in the first eponential goes from 1+1 to n and in the second goes from 1 to 1'.

6. Note that the first and second exponential expressions cover the same region. Whereupon,

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{R} & := \mathbf{R} \cdot \exp\left[-2 \cdot \left[\sum_{u \in \mathbf{U}} k \cdot u\right] \\ \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{i} \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

where we assume the upwelling and downwelling k's are equal.

7. In terms of E sub-u and E sub-d;

$$\frac{E}{E} := R \cdot exp \left[-2 \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} k \cdot u \right] \right]$$

The best reckoning of R sub-b will be when we are one interval 8. above the bottom; then, 1'=1 and

$$R := \begin{bmatrix} E \\ ui \\ - & -i \\ E \\ d(n-1) \end{bmatrix} \cdot exp \begin{bmatrix} k & u \\ ui \end{bmatrix} \cdot exp \begin{bmatrix} k & u \\ d(n-1) & i \end{bmatrix}$$

j

5

where, in the above, the upwelling and downwelling have been treated separately.

..



. ·

-

APPENDIX D

LASER POWER AND SNR CALCULATIONS

POWER RECEIVED

The following is a quick, heuristic derivation of a laser received power equation and a SNR equation for the system. Bulk properties of the water mass are used.

If the power produced by the laser is P, then after exiting the aircraft, the power has become diminished by passage through the transmitting optics, which gives

PTt

and when coupled with losses in the atmosphere, we have at the surface of the water

PTtTA

Losses in the air-water interface are allowed for by a factor

or, altogether,

PTtTATS

Ts

The laser beam produces a spot on the water surface and on the ocean floor. The spot size on the ocean floor will be a function of the beam divergence, turbidity in the water and the water depth. Given the beam divergence and the water depth, one can estimate the bottom spot size using results provided by Duntley [5]. Duntley provides a factor to be included in the calculation that taken account of the spot size and how it affects the irradiance at depth. Using this factor, W, the reflectivity from the bottom, and the attenuation to the bottom (in exponential form), we can write

Pt Tt Ta Ts e W exp[-KD/cos]

The reflected laser light traverses the water on its return path and exits the surface. It travels through the

atmosphere Including the attenuation factor and transmission factors, we have

ł

Pt Tt Ta Ts p WExp[-2KD/cosp]

If we assume the bottom is a Lambertian reflector, rho, then in the upper hemisphere, the upwelling radiation will spread and decrease as

 $T \approx (distance to receiver)$

The receiver will intercept the beam and degrade its power through its receiving optics. Taken altogether, then, we have

Pt Tt TA TS TR EWA Exp[-2KD/cosp]. cosp

T * (DISTANCE)

The angle phi which represents the angle in the water can be transformed into the incidence angle, theta, in air via Snell's Law. If this is done, the resultant expression is.

 $\frac{P_{t}T_{t}T_{z}^{2}T_{z}T_{z}PWA(-\frac{q}{16}\sin^{2}\theta)^{2}}{\pi\left(\frac{h}{\cos\theta}+\frac{D}{\sqrt{1-\frac{q}{16}\sin^{2}\theta}}\right)^{2}}$

[1] .

Equation [1] provides a calculation for the power received by the receiver.

SNR CALCULATION

The primary noise source is the sun. The solar irradiance can be written

 $E_s * \Delta \lambda$

where E_{5} is the solar spectral irradiance. The reflectance of the sun off the water can be given by

 $E_s * \Delta \lambda * (1 - T_s)$

As before, the light is attenuated in its passage through the atmosphere by both aerosols and geometry. If we wish to state the power seen at the receiver due to the solar irradiance, then we can multiply the spectral solar irradiance by the area being viewed. This involves the field of view of the receiver, the distance from the receiver to the area encompassed by the field of view, and a

cast

term as an areal weighting factor. Altouther, including an aperture term for the receiver, we can write

 $P_{ss} = \frac{E_s \Delta \lambda (1-T_s) T_A T_R A \alpha_R^2}{\pi}$

.

[2].

However, solar light will also pass through the water surface. Thus, another term needs to be included. Essentially, this follows earlier arguements with apposite transmission factors, exponential attenuation factors, and attenuation due to geometric spreading in the upper hemisphere. Altogether, the term can be written as

 $P_{sB} = \frac{E_s \ o \lambda T_s^2 T_A T_R \rho A \alpha_R^2 \exp[-2KD]}{P_{sB}}$

[3]

[4].

The total solar noise becomes the sum of [2] and [3].

Another source of noise is that due to the photomultiplier (PMT). The noise equivalent power (NEP) for a typical PMT can be written

 $N = \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{2q} I G \Delta f'$

In the above, N is the NEP in watts, q is the charge on the electron, I is the anode dark current in amperes, G is the amplification ratio for the current, f is the electronic bandwidth in Hz, and S is the anode radiant sensitivity in amps/watt at a given channel.

The calculation of the signal to noise ratio (SNR) is best performed in photons. One may transform watts into photons/sec by using the relation



Then, knowing the time interval, t, during which our measurement is made, and, if we know the quantum efficiency of the device, then we can translate power into photons. For example, the number of photons available from the laser pulse will be



The noise present, as previously considered, consists of three parts. In terms of photons, these may be written as in the following:

1

N(A)oty. Vs. Vs.

These components can be ratioed appropriately (assuming Poisson statistics) to give

 $\frac{S_r}{\left[S_r + S_N + N^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{hc}\right)^2 \Delta t^2 \eta^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ SNR =

For the case of the data provided by station 3 for the first Duck data set, the following table gives the power

received and the signal to noise ratio for the assumed system parameters. In this, the noise assumed for the PMT is 1.95E-15 watts and the quantum efficiency is 15 %. Obviously, other calculations can be made if one wishes to speculate on different system or water column parameters.

SYSTEM PARAMETERS WATER COLUMN PARAMETERS (STATION 3 DATA)

POWEROUT = .75 mJ/PULSEDIFFUSE ATTENUATION COEFF. = .577APERTURE = 6 INCHESBEAM SPREAD FACTOR = .16MEASURE TIME = 2.5E-09INTERFACE TRANSMISSION = .6RECEIVER FOV = .008 RADSBOTTOM REFLECTIVITY = .12TRANSMISSION OPTICS = .5RECEIVER OPTICS = .5ATMOSPH.TRANSMISSION = .98FILTER BANDWIDTH = .01 micrometersSCAN ANGLE = 15 DEGREESSPECTRAL SOLAR IRRAD. = 8.00E-02ALTITUDE = 500m

The diffuse attenuation coefficient used will be an average value over the water column for the channel closest

to the doubled Nd:YAG green line (532 nm). Similarly, the bottom reflection and interface transmission will be taken from the data of the channel that most closely approximates the 532 nm line.

1

POWER RETURNED TO RECEIVER SIGNAL TO NOISE

7.595E-09 Watts .2564

Obviously, we would not be able to see the return signal. This is in line with the 'KD ' limits of the system. The KD value for this set of parameters is 3.17. Since the limits of the system are KD=3.00, the system should not be expected to give a readable signal back.

Interestingly enough, if in the above table of water column values we change only the bottom reflection coefficient to a higher value, say .3, the SNR becomes .636 which is approaching the unity break-even-point for the same KD value as the first case.

As a last situation, suppose all else is the same as in the tabulated list but for the k value, which becomes .4. The KD value of the system is now 2.2 and the SNR is 3.6 -- a measureable returning irradiance.

Fig. 57 gives a plot of k vs d for a kd=3.0.

APPENDIX E

--

.

.

APPENDIX E

CZCS ALGORITM CALCULATIONS

•

Austin and Petzold (4) developed an algorithm for the calculation of the diffuse attenuation coefficient for two of the CZCS wavelengths (49D and 52D nm) by ratioing certain bands of CZCS and comparing these to field data and obtaining a regression relationship between the data and the ratio.

The relevant algorithm for the 490 nm k-value can be written as

$$k(490) = 0.0883 \left(\frac{L_{n}(443)}{L_{n}(550)} \right)^{-1.491} + K_{w}(490)$$
 [1].

The germane algorithm for the 520 nm band is

$$K(520) = 0.02663 \left(\frac{L_{u}(443)}{L_{u}(550)} \right)^{-1.398} + K_{w}(520)_{[2]}$$

The graph in Fig 58 gives the calculated values of k for both 490 nm and 520 nm to compare with the measured k for the stated stations and relevant wavelengths.

The abscissa in the plot numbers the stations sequentially starting with the first Duck data set and terminating with the last Duck data set.

As is seen in the plots, the algorithm in some cases does a good job of giving a reasonable value of the downwelling k for certain stations. For example, for the 490 nm k-value, stations 1, 2, 4, and 6 are fairly well represented. The 520 nm k-value is closely calculated in stations 1, 2, 4, and 6. These are the same stations as for the 490 nm result. The points at stations 3 and 5 show far more erratic behaviour. Station 3 here is the station 3 of Duck data set one, and station 5 is the second station done for the second time in the last Duck data set. Observing the irradiance ratio of station 3, in Fig 12, it appears to behave in an unusual manner showing a large 'dip' in these ratios as a function of depth.

Nothwithstanding, the Austin and Petzold algorithm, upon a cursory examination of the calculated and measured k's, provides a seemingly acceptable way to find the k of a water mass from orbit or from an airborne platform. However, there appears to be still some water mass features that may inhibit the algorithm from correctly computing the k-value. To study and understand the causes for its failure under certain conditions holding in the water column is important.



-

1.12.1.2.2000 STA1 (660') SHIP/SCIENCE LOG Date <u>6/18/88</u> investigator <u>540</u> Lat ____ Time 1236 EST Surface Conditions Weather Conditions Cloud Cover/Type _ Group ; 5 / Wave Height /2''Direction from SE Wind Speed % Whitecaps Direction SF Current(s) Water Conditions Direction fram SE Speed /sec (? gness) Color/Changes _____ Bottom Conditions Aerial Overflight Depth 7,51 (2,28 m) Time Proposed Geology 1300 to 1330 Grab Sample # Biology _ **Remarks:**

1. swell coming infram 2'2'. sinth east. 2. Haze evident
ments (660^{1}) Date 6/18/88 STA 2. DATA LOG Radiometer Measurements Estep Investigator Time 1240 abore Temperature Z/°/ Depth surface 44(dom) 34(vp) High Voltage Setting Mirror Mirror _down__ __up____ Filter Pitch Roll Counts Counts Position -Q(0 -055.86124 131624 5/28480 _____ _____ -133044 15504 11 - NSO65.2, 11260P 11 116196 138856 prothers Temperature 150 HV Set 34 (april Depth Surface, Mirror Mirror __down__ ___<u>up</u>___ Filter . . Counts Counts Pitch Roll Position -05 $-\phi \omega$ 150 460 2 108410 17 ______ 11 · 94520 11 Y160 11 794120 11 Q57Pg) ī. 11812 58344 11 7.4 7 E 13 7.4 7 E 14 8 E 14 143

STA1. DATA LOG Radiometer Measurements Date 18/58 Investigator Time 140 Temperature___ Depth /m 44 High Voltage Setting JV as be for Mirror Mirror _down__ ____up____ Filter Counts Pitcn Roll Position Counts 24012 - NG -05 8056 56956 11 25417 11___ 62-136 34576 _____ 11 1 49056 46018 () 34193 7936 11 /1 Depth Z Temperature / HV Set _____Y Mirror Mirror __down__ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Counts Pitch Roll Counts Position ØS~ 61966 7048 -06 _____ 8 // 12536 98532 ______ // 20640 '9Z 11 3472 70643 ______

STAZ (1220) SHIP/SCIENCE LOG Date 6/18/88 Investigator Eclep Long . Lat Time 1405 Surface Conditions Weather Conditions Cloud Cover/Type _____ Cloud Cover/Type Wave Height 12 Direction from TE Wind Speed Direction \overline{E} % Whitecaps Current(s) Water Conditions Secchi Depth 4.4 Direction 1/2 m/sec/SE Speed Color/Changes <u>groen</u> Aerial Overflight Bottom Conditions Time <u>Proposed</u> 1300-1330 Depth 4.2 ~~ Geology Grab Sample # Biology **Remarks:** 1. lots of the ze

STA Z (1220') Radiometer Measurements Investigator Date Time ist coon 25 Temperature Depth 44 (as fore High Voltage Setting Mirror Mirror down __up____ Filter Position Counts Counts Pitch Roll - 0C 43000 89200 - D1-1 11 76 000 138 150 2 1, 87000 145 200 89000 160750 4 11 11 26 000 126400 Depth Just Below Surface Temperature 17 HV Set_ Mirror Mirror ___down___ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Counts Counts Pitch Position Roll Q 49000 -7 22500 -6 2 40500 44000 Z ______ 17 231500 50 000 11 _____ D 119000 (53052) 75 000 ---[] D 53500 (3800) 33500 _____ HV = 5B

HV = 44

| | г | ATA LOG | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Padá an a | | | | |
| - | Radiomet | er Measureme: | nts | Str | +5(155 |
| Investigator_ | <u>Carpenter</u> | D | ate_ <u>18</u> - | lune 88 | |
| | | T | 1 me <u>14.46</u> | EDT | |
| Depth <u>Im</u> | Tempe | erature 15 | | _ | |
| High Voltage | Setting <u>44/58</u> | 44 up la 58 down | looking | | |
| | Mirror _down | Mirror up | - | | |
| Filter Position | Counts | Counts | Pitch | Roll | |
|) | 77000 | 59 500 | -6 | -6 | |
| 2 | 195000 | 140 000 | | | |
| 3 | 248000 | 175000 | /(| / ; | |
| 4 | 305000 | 195000 | /I | [/ | |
| 5 | 26500 | 48000 |)(| | |
| Depth 3m | Temper | ature 15 | Н | V Set <u>44/58</u> |) un |
| - | Mirror down | Mirror Up | | | |
| Filter Position | Counts | Counts | Pitch | Roll | |
| (| 12500 | 23500 | -6 | -5 | |
| <u> </u> | 55500 | 75000 | | | |
| } | 75000 | 92000 | | !/ | |
| 4 | <u>[[400</u> 0 | 69000 | (/ | ·/ | |
| 5 | 8000 | 10500 | | | |

STAZ (1220)

Radiometer Measurements

__<u>up</u>____





Filter

5644 <u>58756</u> 81522 5QD

Mirror

__down__

Counts

| Counts | Pitch | Ro11 |
|--------|------------------|------|
| 12544 | $-\mathcal{D}$ (| -DS |
| 54913 | ı/ | // |
| 71533 | · ·/ | |
| 92552 | | 1, |
| 13152 | (1 | . / |
| 140 | <u>^</u> . | |

Depth 5m Temperature 190 HV Set ____

Mirror __<u>up</u>___

too Nore top Filter Position Counts Counts <u>e</u> _____ -----_____

Pitch Roll -05 -06 (i)------11 11

| Date 4/18/88 | Lat | Long |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Investigator <u>Ecep</u> | Time | 540 EST |
| Surface Conditions Wave Height | Weather C Cloud Cov | conditions er/Type <u>Cumulo - cu</u> trus |
| Direction % Whitecaps | - Wind Spee Direction | sE |
| <u>Current(s)</u> Direction <u>SE</u> Speed <u>IHUL</u> | Water Con Secchi De Color/Cha | inges <u>Jus</u> |
| Bottom Conditions Depth 7.5 m Geology | <u>Aerial Ov</u> Time | rerflight 1535 |
| Grab Sample # Biology | - - | |
| Remarks: 1) still hazy | | |

. .

2)

149



STA 3 (19001 Radiometer Measurements Investigator Etem Date 6/18/88 Time 1192 Depth /m Temperature 17²C High Voltage Setting 74 144 Mirror Mirror _down __up____ Filter Counts Position Counts Pitch Roll 9684 -05-96 355 V 16482 11623. 17 3 11 19992 15483 Ý 24583 11 17153 11 11 1664 6563 11 Depth 2m Temperature 17°C HV Set 34/44 Mirror Mirror __down__ __up___ Filter Counts Position Counts Pitch Roll 38840 928 -05 Db ____ 2 97184 !! , I HELY 17 10512 107894 13255 120533 11 1 984 25872 "

STA(3)117 Radiometer Measurements Date 6/18/88 Investigator Estep Time 1633 Depth_Jm Temperature_ 17°C 44 High Voltage Setting Mirror Mirror __<u>up</u>____ _down__ Filter Counts Pitch Roll Counts Position 06 $-QS^{-}$ 1872 29553 7082 77443 \mathcal{V}_{-} 11 89542 9232 104542 12986 7, 77 15362 768 Temperature 17°C HV Set 44 Depth 4 Mirror Mirror __down__ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Pitch Roll Counts Counts Position -06 436 17358 -05 _____ 5386 55683 \mathcal{V} ___!/____ 80921 <u>6954</u> · · /_ ___ // // 19456 13524 614 +336

-STA 3 (1939

Radiometer Measurements Date 6/18/88 Investigator <u>Coff</u> Time 16(16 Depth 5 170C Temperature 44 High Voltage Setting Mirror Mirror _down__ __up____ Filter Position Counts Counts Pitch Roll 49% -D(2_____ <u>105</u> 1.892 29852 2046 11 ŀ 3216 1, 36555 ·/ 4493 451094 11 368 2512 11 11 Temperature 17°C HV Set 5B Depth Mirror Mirror __down___ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Counts Position Counts Pitch Roll 576 - 06 - 125 4436 71756 11 _____ 7616 _____ 106968 _____ 12.430 124550 // _____ 656 5700 11 11

۹"

STA 2.1 (22) 1 52 Strong tidel re DATA LOG Radiometer Measurements Date 8-17-89 Hou Investigator Time 14/19 Station from Temperature 32 Depth North - South 38 High Voltage Setting _ Mirror Surbe Mirror _down__ __up____ Filter Counts Pitch Roll Counts Position 28,000 102 -08. 250,000 <u>49000</u> 450,000 2 56,000 _____ 4____ -56000 _38,000 90 Temperature ZY HV Set SA Depth . Dod /0 , ot Mirror Mirror elanbace down __<u>up</u>___ Filter Pitch Roll Counts Counts Position 74,000 -03 -11 201,000 2 3____ <u>Z38,000</u> 285,000 000,25

154

Station 1220

Roll

HV Set 6/

54

Date 8.17-89

Time 1429

Pitch

3400,000 -03 -13

Radiometer Measurements

Temperature 20

Mirror

__up____

Counts

750,000

<u>850,000</u>

-750,000

350,000

Temperature 20

DATA LOG

| Investigat |
|------------|
|------------|

10094 Depth /

High Voltage Setting 46130

Mirror _down__

Counts

66,000

198,000

241,000

295,000

23,000

Filter Position _7___

Depth 1020 /001

Mirror Mirror __down___ __<u>up</u>___

Filter Position

2____

5

Counts 66,000

22,000

Counts Pitch Roll 325,000 -02 - 13 185,000 600,000 234,000 650,000 304,000 625,000 235,000

Station 1220 Secchi = DATA LOG Radiometer Measurements 141 Date 8-17-88 Investigator Time 1438 Depth 1030 1002 Temperature 20 High Voltage Setting _ Mirror Mirror _down__ Up Filter Counts Counts Pitch Position Roll 50,000 200,000 -04 -12 160,000 430,000 3 485,000 214,000 575,000 283,000 20,000 140,000 70 Depth 1039 V/003 Temperature 20 HV Set 4(Mirror Mirror __down__ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Counts Counts Pitch Position Roll 32,000 133,000 -04 -12 5 JZY000 315,000 3 134,000 360,000 250,000 405,000 <u>15,000</u> 72,000 ------__~~~

station 1220

Radiometer Measurements

| | Investigator DepthOOG | f Temper | Da Ti Ti Sy | te <u>8-17</u> me <u>1440</u> | -88 |
|------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 Am | High Voltage So | etting 16 | 36 | | |
| | | Mirror _down | Mirror <u>up</u> | | |
| 5 | Filter Position | Counts | Counts | Pitch | Roll |
| | | 18,000 | 68,000 | -02 | <u>-09</u> |
| | | Blanco | 200,000 | | |
| | | 128,000 | <u>215,000</u> | | |
| | | 197,000 | <u>290/000</u> | | |
| | | 11,000 | 230,000 | | |
| 6 | Depth 1056 /C | C Temper | ature 20 | <u>р</u> ни | 1 Set 46/36 |
| 1 m | | Mirror down | Mirror Up | | ł |
| | Filter Position | Counts | Counts | Pitch | Roll |
| | | 9900 | 38,000 | -05 | -04 |
| | 2 | <u>45,000</u> | <u> 30,000</u> | | |
| | | 72,000 | 162,000 | | |
| | Ÿ. | 113,000 | 221,000 | | |
| | 5 | 8,000 | 20,000 | | |

1220

Radiometer Measurements Date 8-17-88 Investigator Time 1452 Depth Temperature 20 *े* ५४ 10 High Voltage Setting Mirror Mirror _down__ __<u>up</u>____ Filter Position Counts Counts Pitch Roll 1 9,700 27,000 -09 -0Y 2 99,000 46,000 -----66,000 137,000 109,000 175,000 86,000 17,00) Depth OOO Temperature 25 HV Set ____ lossange e Mirror Mirror __down__ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Position Counts Pitch Counts Roll 13,000 -06 <u>- 0</u> 16,000 2____ 19199 52,000 _3____ 56,000 47,000

| ^ي ت. ا | | STA Z. | Z (PZ) Ta log | .[P | ZZO redo ane over |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | | – • · · · · | •• | 1 | asara |
| - | | Radiomete | r Measuremer | nts | Jun |
| | Investigator | HSU | Da | ate_ 8-13 | 1-83 |
| | | | En a | 4.0 | 1 0 |
| 1 | | | | 2 | $\tau \varphi m$ |
| - | Depth | Temper | ature Z | <u>۲</u> | |
| Π ν | High Voltage Set | tting 177 | 38 | | |
| Just : | | | | | |
| 2 pres | ممع ج | Mirror | Mirror | | |
| wit | | 2 <u>40 m</u> | | | |
| ter . | Filter | Counta | Countr | Pitch | Pall |
| | IN 1 | counts | counts | FIGGI . | NOTI |
| on hand | | | 25,0 <u>00</u> | -,06 | <u>-0</u> |
| | | | <u>37,000</u> | من خد هنه مع مو کو | |
| | 3 | | 39,000 | | |
| | | | 42,000 | | |
| | | | 33,000 | | |
| • | Depth 000/000 | Tempera | ture_ <u>25</u> | — Hv | Set 56 86 |
| • . v | | Mirror | Mirror | | |
| - next | ne la | down | <u>up</u> | | |
| All all | Filter | | | | |
| - Certe | Position | Counts | Counts | Pitch | Roll |
| Pur D | · | BLPDD | | 2/ | -06 |
| - | | 75,000 | | | |
| | | <u>880,000</u> | | | |
| | | 733,000 | | | |
| | 5 | 258,000 | | | |

Action 1222 redo DATA LOG Radiometer Measurements Date 8-17-88 Investigator Time 1615 Depth . 009/000 Temperature \underline{ZY} 54 High Voltage Setting 56 Mirror Mirror _down__ <u>up</u> Filter Pitch Counts Counts Roll Position 25000 -08 -17 2.37,000 727,000 415,000 Z <u>894,000</u> <u>525,000</u> $1,096,\infty0$ 500,000 250,000 72,000 16 54 Temperature 24 Depth 017/001 HV Set 56/36 Mirror Mirror Zm ___down___ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Counts Pitch Position Counts Roll :178,000 170,000-03 -10 315,000 2____ 605,000 400,000 785,000 37-5-090 -----1,027,00 59,000 135,000

DATA LOG Radiometer Measurements 220 Date 8-17-80 Investigator Time 1622 Depth 1030/002 Temperature 25 High Voltage Setting 56 Mirror Mirror _down_ __up____ Filter Position Counts Counts Pitch Roll 145,000 108,000 701 10 758,000 518,000 _____ 690,000 795,000 _895,000 325,000 -73,000 50,000 86 154 .040 1003Temperature 22 HV Set So Mirror Mirror __down__ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Counts Counts Pitch Roll Position 82,000 64,000 -07 106 338,000 <u>]65,000</u> 498,000 195,000 235,000 700,000 33,000 40,000 Depth osd/ooy Temp 22 86 59 HV Det Stops Filter Mirror Up Pitch Roll Counts Pos cou, ds 23,000 - 06 -05 32,000 74,000 157,000 see back 100,000 253,000 ... for last 119,000 368,000 Noaling 161 11.000 22,000

| | | | · | Plane | + | Fass | 1640 |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-------|
| | | | | | <i>۔ ۲</i> | | 1650 |
| | Station 12 | 20 | | | - 1 1 | 6 F | 1650. |
| | • | DAT | ra log | | · | | |
| | | Radiometer | r Measure | ments | $\langle \rangle$ | - 100 | 2 |
| | Investigator | | | Date_ | 8/1 | 1/88 | - |
| | . / | | | Time_ | 16 | 40 | - |
| r mt | Depth . 053V/0 | 705 Tempera | ature | 21 | | | |
| >' | High Voltage Se | tting <u>56</u> | 136 | (2: | $\langle \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$ | | |
| | | Mirror _down | Mirror | - | | | |
| | Filter Position | Counts | Counts | Pi | tch | Roll | |
| | / | 6000 | 152 |) = | <u> </u> | -05 | - |
| | 2 | <u>0205</u> 0 | 66,00 | <u>ر</u> | | | - |
| · | 3 | 115000 | <u>رز ۽ ک</u> | | | | - |
| | 4 | 188000 | 73.03 | <u></u> | | - | - |
| | | 12000 | 700 | <u> </u> | | | - |
| ting 1648 | > Depth | Tempera | ture | | _ н | / Set _ | 56 |
| resurte | (C | Mirror down | Mirror | | | | |
| | Filter Position | Counts | Counts | Pit | tch | Roll | |
| | | 169000 | | | | | |
| | 2 | <u>490,00</u> 0 | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | 563,000 | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | 700,000 | | - | | | |
| | <u> </u> | 5-6,000 | | | | | |
| above | Surta e | 100000 | HIV | Set | 36 | _ | |
| | <u>- 7</u> | 540 000 | Ten | 11 7 | 27 | | |
| | 2 | 520,000 | | , | | • | |
| | ر لا | 640,000 | | | | | |
| | 5 | ل دد , ۲۶ ۲۷ | 62 | | | | |

STA3. (DZ) Station 1900 DATA LOG Radiometer Measurements HSW Date 8-17-88 Investigator Time 11:23 (7 Temperature Depth 3452 above (I sec Akg) 44 High Voltage Setting 110 Mirror Mirror Surface) _down__ __<u>up</u>____ Filter Counts Position Counts Pitch Roll 175,000 487,000 285,000 _____ 770,000 _____ 3 <u>608,000</u> 295,000 884,008 <u>325,000</u> 165,000 _____ 644,000 Clust belan 68 tw ("to Pepth-0 Temperature ------ HV Set 44 rotose Mirror Mirror __down__ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Position Counts Counts Pitch Roll 1 ____ 54,000 2 121,000 ______ 138,000 164,000 4___ 24,000

below 9

1,

Station 1900

2

DATA LOG

Radiometer Measurements

Investigator Date 0.013 Time Gemperature 18 [m] 001 Depth DOD . High Voltage Setting ____ 44 before cod Voltage = ,013 Mirror Mirror __up Filter Position Counts Counts Pitch Roll 235,000 \$35,000 _____ 440,000 93,000 460,000 113,000 530,000 134,000 12,000 275,000 .0201 001-Temperature # 19 HV Set 2mDepth . 34 Voltage: and 52 50 64 Mirror Mirror down __<u>up</u>___ Filter Position Counts Counts Pitch Roll 200,000 185,000 2_ <u>570,000</u> 385,000 415,000 772,000 1,400,000,000 523,000 15,000 300000000 175,000 50,000

- Station 1900

3

DATA LOG

Radiometer Measurements

3m

Investigator Date 8-17-88 Time 12:04 Depth .030/002-003 Temperature 18-19 High Voltage Setting 56 36 Secchi = 18.5' 54 Mirror 86 Mirror _down__ __up Filter Position Counts Counts Pitch Roll 157,000 208,000 -04 -09 2 <u>515,000</u> 453,000 655,000 515,000 825,000 590,000 1360950 150,000 37,000 Depth 040/003 Temperature 19 $HV Set \frac{56}{54}$ Mirror ___down___ ____up____ Filter Position Counts Counts Pitch Roll 183,000-04 -09 136,000 2 493,000 420,000 623,000 455,000 ______ _ _ _ _ 785,000 535,000 Latita and a 27,000 87,000

Stat: 00

4

DATA LOG

Radiometer Measurements

Date 8-17-88 Investigator 5m Time /2:10 .051 COS Temperature_ 18 Depth High Voltage Setting _56/36 Mirror Mirror _down__ ___up____ Filter Pitch Counts Counts Roll Position 144,000 108,000 -05 - 08 440,000 V 365,000 5350 570,000 27500 740,000 57,000 22,000 Depth ,059 V/005 HV Set 56/36 18 Temperature 6m Mirror Mirror __down__ __<u>up</u>___ Filter Counts Counts Pitch Roll Position 104,000-04 82,000 -08 372,000 300,000 - - - -521,000 335,000 695,000 398,000 33,000 18,000

5 fation /100

ς

DATA LOG

.fm

Bm

Radiometer Measurements

| Investigator_ | | Date 8-17-88 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Depth | 006 Tempe | Time 12:20 |
| High Voltage | Setting <u>56</u> | 36 |
| | % Mirror _ <u>down</u> | SY Mirror Up |
| Filter Position | Counts | Counts Pitch Roll |
| (| (p , 000 | 67,000 -04 -08 |
| $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ | 316,000 | 225,000 |
| | 447,000 | 270,000 |
| <u> </u> | 616,000 | (9900)? |
| | 14,000 | 34,000 |
| Depth .079 | 005 Temper | vature 19 HV Set 56/36 |
| | Mirror down | Mirror KG54 <u>up</u> |
| Filter Position | Counts | Counts Pitch Roll |
| | 54,000 | 46,000 - 04 -06 |
| Z | 281,000 | 156,000 |
| 3 | 422,000 | 199,000 |
| <u> </u> | 592,000 | 253,000 |
| 5 | 12,000 | 72,000 |

plane over at 12:34

6

Radiometer Measurements

Date 8-17-88 Investigator Time 12:30 Depth ,089 4/008 m Temperature 19 1 18836 High Voltage Setting <u>56</u>/ Mirror Mirror down __up____ Filter Counts _65000 Position Counts Pitch Roll 2000000 -04 32,000 -06 163,000 U <u>/27,000</u> 215000 165,000 3____ 350,000 213,000 9,000 5 6700 Depth 008 Temperature 19 HV Set $\frac{56/36}{87.54}$ (2011.4) Mirror Mirror __down__ __up___ Filter botton Pitch Position Counts Counts Roll -04 -07 32,000 64,000 Z 168,000 120,000 227,000 5.00 5,700 308,000 7,600

5 testion 1920

Radiometer Measurements

| | | | 0.17. | 00 |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------|-------|
| Investigator | | - Dat | te 0-17. | 00 |
| | | Ti | me 12/53 | > |
| | | | | |
| ict cover Depth 000/00 | O Temper | rature | · | |
| Aura Valtada Sal | tine 56 | | | |
| High Voltage Det | | | | |
| 01,900 | Mirror | Mirror <u>up</u> | | |
| Filter Position | Counts | Counts | Pitch | Roll |
| 1 | 368,000 | | -03 | -10 |
| 2 | 885,000 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | ~~~~~ | | |
| | ~~~~~ | ~~~~~~~~ | | |
| Depth | - Tempe: | rature | Н | V Set |
| | Mirror down | Mirror <u>up</u> | | |
| Filter Position | Counts | Counts | Pitch | Roll |
| | | ~~~~~~ | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Ì

UNCLASSIFIED

S

.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

| | REPORT DOCUM | IENTATION PA | NGE | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified | 15. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS None | | | | |
| 2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY | | 3. DISTRIBUTION/A | AVAILABILITY OF REPOR | AT . | |
| 2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDU | Approved is unlimite | for public relea | ase; dist | ribution | |
| 4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMB | ER(S) | 5. MONITORING O | RGANIZATION REPORT | NUMBER(S) | |
| SP 004:352:89 | | SP 004:3 | 52:89 | | |
| 6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION | | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION | | | |
| Naval Ocean Research and | Development Activity | Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity | | | |
| 6c ADDRESS (City. State, and ZIP Code) | | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) | | | |
| Ocean Science Directorate Stennis Space Center, Missis | sippi 39529–5004 | Ocean Science Directorate Stennis Space Center, Mississippi 39529-5004 | | | |
| Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZA | TION 86. OFFICE SYMBOL | 9. PROCUREMENT | INSTRUMENT IDENTIF | ICATION NUN | MBER |
| OP-096 | (If applicable) | | | | |
| Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIF Code) | • | 10. SOURCE OF F | UNDINC NOS | · | |
| Washington, D. C. | | PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 63704N | PROJECT NO 01987 | TASK NO: 304 | WORK UNIT NO 13518F |
| Coastal Hydrographics Techr CERC FRF, Duck, N. C. ¹² PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) L Estep L Hsu and B Arn | nques: Data Report 1 | for Support of | the Airborne E | Sathymet | ry System at |
| 13a TYPE OF REPORT | ME COVERED | 14. DATE OF REF | PORT (Yr., Mo., Day) | | 15. PAGE COUNT |
| Final From _ | То | Novemb | er 1988 | | 171 |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION | | L | · · · · · | | L |
| 17 COSATI CODES | 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Con | ntinue on reverse if n | ecessary and identify by | y block numb | Der) |
| FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. | multispectral, i | mage process | sing, hydrograp | hic surve | eying, tides |
| | — | | | | |
| Coastal Hydrographics Techniques (CHT) personnel in support of the Airborne Bathymetry System (ABS) traveled on two separate occasions to the CERC FRF at Duck, N. C., to provide ground truth data for the overflights of the system. The following data report gives a preliminary look at the data and provides some preliminary analysis and simple calculations using the collected data for both CHT and ABS applications. | | | | | |
| 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT | | 21. ABSTRACT SE | CURITY CLASSIFICATIO | N | |
| UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 🗍 SAME AS F | DTIC USERS | Unclassif | ied | | * |
| 228 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL | | 22b. TELEPHONE | NUMBER (Include Area | Code) | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL |
| L. Estep | | (601) 688 | -4525 | | Code 352 |
| DD FORM 1473, 83 APR | EDITION OF 1 JAN | N 73 IS OBSOLETE. | | | UNCLASSIFIED |

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE